

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/972,424	10/04/2001	Chris E. Matichuk	22407-05676	8244
****	7590 09/27/200 BOEHNEN HULBER	EXAMINER		
300 S. WACKI	ER DRIVE	CHOUDHURY, AZIZUL Q		
32ND FLOOR CHICAGO, IL	60606	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2145	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

ďγο

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/972,424	MATICHUK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Azizul Choudhury	2145	

· · ·	- LAGITIMICI	Alt Ollic					
•	Azizul Choudhury	2145					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED <u>04 September 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance.	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
time periods:	of the final rejection	•					
	a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In						
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN							
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropria	ite extension fee				
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2	viliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	hs of the date of				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be		ducing or simplifying	the issues for				
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.					
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		andiant Amandmant	(DTOL 224)				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).							
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the							
non-allowable claim(s).							
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N nd sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>n</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments are not deemed fully persuasive.							
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
	JASON CARDONE						
5	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAM	INÉR					

Continuation of 13. Other: The applicant's arguments are not deemed fully persuasive. With regards to claim 1, the applicant argues that the claim feature of "selection of the advertisement, allowing automatic programming of the media-based device to record the broadcast program at the predetermined start time," is not taught by the prior art, Killian. The examiner disagrees, Killian teaches how in a web-based environment, a JAVA-based electronic programming guide is provided allowing users to select and record shows (see column 5, line 51 - column 6, line 5 and column 8, lines 19-26, Killian). The applicant continues then to argue that Killian does not teach receiving/selecting based on the advertisement of a program. Again, the examiner disagrees with this assertion. Killian's interface is web-based and it cites how web sites associated with the program are displayed (see column 5, line 51 - column 6, line 5). A web site is a form of advertisement, especially when the web site is focused on a program as it is here. The next argument presented by the applicant concerns "identification information," and how Killian fails to teach such a trait. The examiner again disagrees. Killian teaches within column 10, lines 1-17, how user profiles are used. With regards to the applicant's arguments that Killian fails to teach a first and second server as claimed within claim 29, the examiner stands by the teachings of Killian within Figure 1, elements 46 and 48.